

Atlantic, GA 30303-1736

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,469	02/28/2002	Fred S. Cannon	823.0115USU	4846	
7590 08/09/2005			EXAMINER		
POWELL, GOLDSTEIN, FRAZER & MURPHY LLP			HENDRICKSON, STUART L		
Jason A Bernst	ein				
191 Peachtree Street NE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
16th Floor			1754		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A.s.		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- 60</del>		
		10/085,469	CANNON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stuart Hendrickson	1754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	•		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PARISHED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ation.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	June 2005.				
•=	· · · · <del></del>	nis action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8,30 and 36-40</u> is/are pending in a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8,30 and 36-40</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the latest or declaration is objected to by the latest and the specific product of	ccepted or b) objected to I ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Apionity documents have been received in Apionity documents have been received.	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •	🗖				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 30, 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Murakami et al 6865068.

The reference teaches active carbon, see table 1. The exact distribution and pH are unknown, but some examples (6 and 7) are highly consistent with what is claimed (large mesopore to micropore ratio). Note also the steam activation. Where the examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to establish that their product is patentably distinct not the examiner to show that the same process of making, see In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q 685, and In re Fessmann, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754